JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

Case 2:15-cv-04656-MMB Document 1 Filed 08/17/15 Page 1 of 12 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SER INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

ourpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. <i>(SEE INSTRUC</i>	TIONS ON NEXT PAG	E OF THIS	FORM.)	•				* =
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS MICHAEL WINANS				DEFENDANT FINANCIAL ASSE		MENT SYSTEMS	S, INC.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2) CRAIG THOR KIMM	of First Listed Plaintiff <u>CF</u> XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA Address, and Telephone Numbe IEL, KIMMEL & SILVER! KE, AMBLER, PA 19002 188 EXT. 116	(SES)		County of Residence NOTE: Attorneys (If Known	(IN U.S. P. IN LAND CO THE TRACE	ed Defendant LAINTIFF CASES O ONDEMNATION C FOF LAND INVOL		E LOCATI	ON OF
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place on "X" in	One Box Only)	m. cr	TIZENSHIP OF PR	INCIPAL.	PARTIES (DI	rea and #VII in Char	. D C	(CC
I U.S. Government Plaintiff	□ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not)	İ	Ci	(For Diversity Cases Only)	PTF DEF	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	and One Box for neipal Place		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of	of Parties in Item III)	Ci	tizen of Another State	□ 2 □ 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		□ 5	□ 5
1844				tizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□6
V. NATURE OF SUIT									
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJI 365 Personal Injur Product Liabii 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutiea Personal Injury Product Liabii 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROP 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lendi 380 Other Persona Property Dama Product Liabili PRISONER PETIT Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detained 510 Motions to Va Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other;	URY y - lity ll y onal t PERTY ling lage age age tity locate Other	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application Actions	422 Appe 423 With 28 U 423 With 28 U 423 With 28 U 420 Copy 330 Paten 340 Trade 361 HIA (SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights tomark SECURITY (1395ff) 1 Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI (405(g)) XL TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	□ 375 False C □ 400 State R □ 410 Antitrut □ 430 Banks s □ 450 Comme □ 460 Deports □ 470 Rackete Corrupt □ 480 Consun □ 490 CablerS □ 850 Security □ 893 Enviror □ 893 Enviror □ 895 Freedor □ 896 Arbitrat □ 899 Admini Act/Rev	eapportion st and Bankir erece	ment g ced and ions odities/ etions atters nation ocedure peal of
	noved from	ellate Court	Re	copened And (spe	insferred from other District ectivi	Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Statu 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq Brief description of caus FAIR DEBT COLLECT	ie:		Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless dive	ersity):			
/II. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER RULE 23,		N	DEMAND \$		HECK YES only i J RY DEMAND :	f demanded in ⊠Yes	complair	
/III. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	r number			
DATE 08/14/2015		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY C	F RECORD					
OR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT# AM	OUNT	APPLYING IFP	,	JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate calendar,			
Address of Plaintiff: 225 North Whitford Road, Exton, I	PA 19341		
Address of Defendant: 70 Corporate Hills Drive, Suite	103, St. Charles, MO 63301		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:			
(Use Reverse Side For	Additional Space)		
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?		
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a	77		
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No.\\		
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:			
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:			
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	year previously terminated action in this court?		
	Yes□ No፟		
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated		
	Yes□ No🏞		
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier			
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ Noth		
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigi	hts case filed by the same individual?		
to the case a coord of carreers indocate oripus, section security appear, or pro-sectiviting	Yes□ No.		
	168 NOE		
CIVIL: (Place / in one category only)			
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:		
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts		
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury		
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation		
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury		
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury		
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)		
7. D Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability		
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos		
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases		
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)		
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.			
ARBITRATION CERT	Category)		
I, CRAIG THOR KIMMEL , counsel of record do hereby certing and pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and			
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	oriet, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of		
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.			
DATE: 08-14-15	E 71 0 0		
Attorney-at-Law	57100 Attorney I.D.#		
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if th			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	r within one year previously to interest and in the		
except as noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in this court		
DATE: 08-14-15	57100		
Attorney-at-Law CIV. 609 (5/2012)	Attorney I.D.#		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

	v	CIVIL ACTION
	**	CIVIL ACTION
MICHAEL WINANS	*	
··· CITIZEE WITHING	•	
"Y.o.		
DINIANCIAL ACCID MANAGACTACTACT		
FINANCIAL ASSET MANAGEMENT		
	€	NO
GIZGHDAG TATO	*	NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address			
215-540-8888 x	116 877-788-2864	kimmel@creditlaw.co	m		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for			
08-14-15	_/M	Plaintiff, Michael Wi	nans		
(f) Standard Managem	nent – Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	()		
the court. (See rev management cases	<i>'</i>	explanation of special	()		
(e) Special Manageme	nt - Cases that do not fall into track	s (a) through (d) that are			
(d) Asbestos – Cases i exposure to asbesto	nvolving claims for personal injury os.	or property damage from	()		
c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.					
(b) Social Security – Cand Human Service) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.				
(a) Habeas Corpus – C	a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

SYSTEMS, INC.

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 4 MICHAEL WINANS, 5 Plaintiff 6 ٧. Case No.: 7 8 FINANCIAL ASSET MANAGMENT) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR SYSTEMS, INC., JURY TRIAL Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 10 11 COMPLAINT 12 MICHAEL WINANS ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL 13 & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against FINANCIAL ASSET 14 15 MANAGMENT SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"): 16 INTRODUCTION 17 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices 18 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 19 20 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 21 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 22 which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate 23 United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 24 25 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising - 1 -

under the laws of the United States. See Mims v. Arrow Fin. Services, LLC, 132 S. Ct. 740, 747, 181 L. Ed. 2d 881 (2012)

- 3. Defendant conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Exton, Pennsylvania 19341.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. Also, Plaintiff is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with corporate headquarters located at 70 Corporate Hills Drive, Suite 103, St. Charles, Missouri 63301.
- 9. Defendant is a debt collector as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 10. Also, Defendant is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. §153(39).
- 11. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees,

representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 13. The alleged debt, a student loan, was primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 14. Plaintiff has a cellular telephone number that he has had for more than one year.
- 15. The phone number has been assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls.
- 16. Plaintiff never gave permission to Defendant to call his cellular telephone.
- 17. Plaintiff never furnished Defendant with his cell number and never authorized Defendant to call him.
- 18. Beginning before May 2015, and continuing through June 2015, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on his cellular telephone in its attempts to collect a consumer debt.
- 19. When contacting Plaintiff on his cellular telephone, Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system and automatic and/or pre-recorded.
 - 20. Defendant's telephone calls were not made for "emergency

purposes."

21. Defendant's automated messages identified itself as the caller and stated that it was calling about a "private business matter" or that its call was "an

attempt to collect a debt."

- 22. Defendant called Plaintiff, on average, two (2) to five (5) times a day.
- 23. It was annoying and harassing for Plaintiff to be called on his cellular telephone with such frequency.
- 24. Further, in its attempts to collect the alleged debt, Defendant also contacted Plaintiff's parents and grandparents, which was embarrassing to Plaintiff for his family to know that there was a debt collector attempting to contact him.
- 25. Finally, in its attempts to collect a debt, Defendant sent written correspondence to Plaintiff demanding payment of the debt, threatening to garnish his wages for non-payment of the debt.
- 26. Upon information and belief Defendant's threat to garnish Plaintiff's wages was false and it did not intend to take the action threatened.
- 27. Defendant's actions as described herein were made with the intent to harass, upset, deceive, and coerce payment from Plaintiff.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

28. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in the following ways:

COUNT I

- a. A debt collector violates § 1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
- b. A debt collector violates § 1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
- c. Here, Defendant violated §§ 1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it repeatedly called Plaintiff on his cellular telephone as frequently as two (2) to five (5) times a day.

COUNT II

- a. A debt collector violates § 1692e of the FDCPA by using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- b. A debt collector violates § 1692e(4) of the FDCPA by representing or implicating that nonpayment of any debt will

17 18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

result in the arrest or imprisonment of any person or the seizure. garnishment, attachment, or sale of any property or wages of any person unless such action is lawful and the debt collector or creditor intends to take such action.

Here, Defendant violated §§ 1692e and 1692e(4) of the FDCPA c. by threatening to garnish Plaintiff's wages, when it did not intend to take the threatened action and legally could not take the threatened action.

COUNT III

- A debt collector violates § 1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair a. or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- b. Here, Defendant violated § 1692f of the FDCPA by calling Plaintiff's parents and grandparents in its attempts to collect a debt from Plaintiff.

COUNT IV

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

29. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.

cellular telephone using a prerecorded voice and/or automated message.

Defendant initiated multiple automated telephone calls to Plaintiff's

Defendant initiated these automated calls to Plaintiff using an

Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for emergency purposes.

Defendant's calls to Plaintiff, in and after May 2015, were not made

30.

31.

32.

33.

automatic telephone dialing system.

7

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24 25 under the law and with the purpose of harassing Plaintiff.

previously given to Defendant to call his cellular telephone.

Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, 34. intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights

with Plaintiff's prior express consent, as Plaintiff had revoked any consent

- The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, 35. unlawfully, intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal defense, legal justification or legal excuse.
- As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered 36. the losses and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, MICHAEL WINANS, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3);
- d. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A);
- e. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per telephone call pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
- f. Treble damages of \$1,500.00 per telephone call pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3) or alternatively that amount for all calls made after Defendant was notified that they were calling the wrong person and wrong number;
- g. Injunctive relief pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3); and
- h. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, MICHAEL WINANS, demands a jury trial in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

3y: ____

CRAIG THOR KIMMEL

PA. No. 57100

Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com